

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The creation of this thesis took lots of help from many people. It is a great pleasure to express my gratitude and acknowledge them.

First and foremost, I would like to thank to God Almighty for the blessings and encouragement everyday during the development of this thesis.

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Mr. Tedy Fardiansyah, for his eagerness of being a very supportive supervisor, for his understanding and commitment for guiding this thesis progress until its completion; for his details and constructive comments throughout the process that enabled me to complete this thesis.

I also thank to all lecturers of BiNus Business School, for their experiences and shared knowledge throughout the study that useful for completing this thesis.

My sincere thank to Mr. Joko Wiyono, LGEIN, for giving the research project opportunity that support this thesis; for his full support and cooperation throughout the thesis process; for his plenteous information regarding LGEIN business.

My loving thanks to my family, for their support, encouragement and understanding throughout the process.

I would like to thank to all students of BiNus Business School, especially for students of MM Professional MAR 2007, for all their help and support throughout the study in BiNus Business School.

ABSTRACT

For a large firm like PT LG Electronics Indonesia (LGEIN), which has resulted in high manufacturing utilization, require a few percent reductions in component prices every year. The one of potential project for cost reduction is tooling investment. After Livia is produced and the expenditure of new tooling is made, it is continually faced with the problem that current method computing profits are conventional without considering the expenditure of tooling in the long run. Finally, a firm's capital budgeting decisions is needed because it defines its strategic direction. Four primary methods in the capital budget to decide whether or not the project should be accepted are: discounted payback, net present value, internal rate of return and profitability index. In addition, we use sensitivity analysis to indicate which factor has significant interfere with the project. The results generated that discounted payback is just 2 year 6 months less life of the project for 3 years, NPV generated positive result \$3,502,387, and an IRR of this project is 25% greater than required rate of return 10% and profitability index gets 1.8 greater than 1. In sensitivity analysis shows that the project's NPV is very sensitive to changes in sales and COGS, and relatively insensitive to changes in either growth rate or rate of return.

In a method of capital budgeting analysis, Livia project could be accepted with initial investment for \$4,199,288 and still more detailed analysis is required to support the expenditure.

Keywords: capital budgeting, expenditure, tooling, sensitivity analysis

LIST OF CONTENTS

Front Page	i
Statement of Purpose	ii
Supervisor Approval	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
LIST OF CONTENTS.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURE.....	ix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Description	2
1.3 Purpose of the Study	3
1.4 Scope of the Study	3
1.5 Company Overview	4
CHAPTER 2 OVERVIEW OF BASIC THEORIES	7
2.1 Importance of Capital Budgeting... ..	7
2.2 Generating Ideas for Capital Projects	8
2.3 Project Classifications	11
2.4 Investment	13
2.5 Capital Budgeting Steps	14
2.6 Capital Budgeting Decision Rules	15
2.6.1 Discounted Payback	15
2.6.2 Net Present Value	16
2.6.3 Internal Rate of Return	18
2.6.4 Profitability Index	19
2.7 Cash Flows Estimation	23
2.8 Risk Analysis	26
2.9 Required Rate of Return	29

2.10	Financial Statement Forecasting	32
2.10.1	Forecast the Income Statement	32
2.10.2	Forecast the Balance Sheet	33
2.11	Financial Ratio Analysis	33
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		39
CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS		46
4.1	Data & Assumptions	47
4.1.1	Initial Investment	47
4.1.2	Assumptions	50
4.2	Financial Statement Forecasting	52
4.2.1	Forecast the Income Statement	52
4.2.2	Forecast the Balance Sheet	55
4.3	Determining Free Cash Flows	58
4.4	Capital Budgeting Decisions	63
4.5	Sensitivity Analysis	68
4.6	Financial Ratio Analysis	70
4.6.1	Firm Liquidity	71
4.6.2	Operating Profitability	72
4.6.3	Financing Decisions	76
4.6.4	Return on Equity	77
CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY & RECOMMENDATION		79
5.1	Summary	79
5.2	Recommendation	81
BIBLIOGRAPHY		83
REFERENCES		84

APPENDIX 1 REFRIGERATOR LIVIAA-1
APPENDIX 2 BASIC COMPOSITION OF REFRIGERATORA-2
APPENDIX 3 MOLDING BASKET DOORA-3
APPENDIX 4 MOLDING DUCT MULTIA-4
APPENDIX 5 MOLDING COVER TVA-5
APPENDIX 6 DIES PLATE CENTERA-6

CURRICULUM VITAE

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Major Classes and Asset Lives for MACRS	26
Table 2.2	MACRS Recovery Allowance Percentages for Personal Property.....	26
Table 4.1	Initial Investment Tooling	48
Table 4.2	Data Project	51
Table 4.3	Projected Income Statements	54
Table 4.4	Projected Balance Sheet	57
Table 4.5	Net Salvage Values in 2011	61
Table 4.6	Calculation of Free Cash Flow for LGEIN	62
Table 4.7	Bank of Indonesia Rate	63
Table 4.8	Inflation Rate	64
Table 4.9	Calculation for DP illustration of Investment in New Tooling	65
Table 4.10	Calculation for NPV illustration of Investment in New Tooling	66
Table 4.11	Calculation for IRR illustration of Investment in New Tooling	67
Table 4.12	Calculation for PI illustration of Investment in New Tooling	68
Table 4.13	Sensitivity Analyses	69
Table 4.14	LGEIN Ratio Analysis	78

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1.1	Production Process Flow Chart	6
Figure 3.1	Stages of the Capital Budgeting	40
Figure 4.1	NPV Sensitivity Graph for Livia's Project	70